arXiv:1510.09121v2 [math.CV] 17 May 2016

Equidistribution on Big Line Bundles with Singular Metrics for Moderate Measures

Guokuan SHAO

May 18, 2016

Abstract

We establish an equidistribution theorem for the common zeros of random holomorphic sections of high powers of several singular Hermitian big line bundles associated to moderate measures.

Classification AMS 2010: 32A60, 32L10, 32U40.
Keywords: equidistribution, big line bundle, singular metric, moderate measure, general position, holomorphic section, Fubini-Study current, meromorphic transform, intermediate degree, multi-projective space.

1 Introduction

Distribution of zeros of random polynomials is a classical subject. The systematic research was developed in the papers [1], [2], [3], [15], [20], [22], [25]. The general results about the holomorphic sections of a positive line bundle associated to the Lebesgue measures induced by the Fubini-Study metric were obtained by Shiffman-Zelditch[26]. Dinh-Sibony[14] extended the equidistribution property in the case of general measures and obtained a good estimate of the convergence speed. The potential-theoretic approach from Fornæss-Sibony[16] was used in the previous works. Our paper [24] gave a large family of singular moderate measures that satisfies the equidistribution property.

The equidistribution property and convergence speed of holomorphic sections of singular Hermitian holomorphic line bundles have been very active recently. see [4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [12] for complete references. Dinh-Ma-Marinescu [12] explored the equidistribution of zeros of random holomorphic sections for singular Hermitian metrics with a convergence speed. Coman-Marinescu-Nguyên [8] studied furtherly the equidistribution of common zeros of sections of several big line bundles. The measure of the equidistribution theorem in [8] is the standard one induced by the Fubini-Study metric. On the other hand, our previous work [24]

investigated the equidistribution of zeros of sections of a single positive holomorphic line bundle associated to moderate measures. The metric on the line bundle in the latter work is smooth. In this paper, the normalized currents are defined by the common zeros of m-tuples of random sections of high powers of m singular Hermitian big line bundles on a compact Kähler manifold. Using certain moderate measures we show that these normalized currents distribute asymptotically to the wedge product of the curvature currents. Consequently, we generalize both the main theorems in [8] and [24].

Our method follows the approach of Coman-Marinescu-Nguyên [8]. Adapting their work, we prove that the intersections of currents of integration along subvarieties are well-defined almost everywhere with respect to a finite product of moderate measures (see Section 2). Moreover, their strategy of using Dinh-Sibony equidistribution theory leads us to obtain an estimate for the convergence speed (see Section 3 and Section 4). Then we combine the above with the technical analysis of moderate measures to achieve our results. Here our hard core work consists of estimating efficiently some constants which are intimately associated with multi-projective spaces (see Section 3).

We start with the basic settings of this paper. Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n with a fixed Kähler form ω. For every complex vector space V of finite dimension, let ω_{FS} be the standard Kähler form induced by the Fubini-Study metric on its projective space $\mathbb{P}(V)$ normalized by $\int_{\mathbb{P}(V)} \omega_{FS}^{\dim \mathbb{P}(V)} = 1$. Recall that a singular Hermitian holomorphic line bundle (L, h) is a holomorphic line bundle L with a Hermitian metric which is given in any trivialization by a weight function $e^{-\varphi}$ such that φ is locally integrable. Let $c_1(L, h)$ be its curvature current which represents the first Chern class. To be precise, if e_L is a holomorphic frame of L on an open subset $U \subset X$, then $|e_L|_h^2 = e^{-2\varphi}$, $c_1(L, h) = dd^c\varphi$ on U. Here $d = \partial + \bar{\partial}$, $d^c = \frac{1}{2\pi i}(\partial - \bar{\partial})$. The case when $c_1(L, h) \geq 0$ as a current is particularly interesting. We say that a holomorphic line bundle L is *big* if it admits a singular metric h with that $c_1(L, h) \geq \epsilon\omega$ for some constant $\epsilon > 0$, see [23, Lemma 2.3.6].

Let (L_k, h_k), $1 \leq k \leq m \leq n$, be m singular Hermitian holomorphic line bundles on X. Let L_k^p be the pth tensor powers of L_k. Denote by $H^0_{(2)}(X, L_k^p)$ the Bergman space of L^2-holomorphic sections of L_k^p relative to the metric $h_{k,p} := h_k^{\otimes p}$ induced by h_k and the volume form ω^n on X, endowed with the inner product

$$\langle S, S' \rangle_{k,p} := \int_X h_{k,p}(S, S')\omega^n,$$

$\forall S, S' \in H^0_{(2)}(X, L_k^p)$. Let $\mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X, L_k^p)$ be the associated projective space. Set $d_{k,p} := \dim H^0_{(2)}(X, L_k^p) - 1$. We have $p^n/C \leq d_{k,p} \leq Cp^n$, where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of k and p (see Theorem 4.1). Now we consider the multi-projective space

$$\mathbb{X}_p := \mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X, L_1^p) \times ... \times \mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X, L_m^p) \tag{1}$$

endowed with a probability measure σ_p for every $p \geq 1$. Let $\pi_{k,p} : \mathbb{X}_p \to \mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p_k)$ be the natural projections. Denote by $[S = 0]$ the current defined by the zero set of $S \in H^0(X, L^p_k)$. Set

$$[S_p = 0] := [S_{p1} = 0] \wedge ... \wedge [S_{pm} = 0], \quad \forall S_p = (S_{p1}, ..., S_{pm}) \in \mathbb{X}_p, \tag{2}$$

whenever it is well-defined. Let

$$\mathbb{P}^X := \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{X}_p. \tag{3}$$

It is a probability space with the product measure $\sigma = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \sigma_p$.

A function $u : M \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on a metric space (M, dist) is said to be of class $\mathscr{C}^\rho$ for some exponent $0 < \rho < 1$, with modulus c if

$$\sup_{\substack{x,y \in M \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|}{\text{dist}(x, y)^\rho} \leq c.$$

Consider a complex manifold M with a fixed volume form, let γ be a closed real current of bidegree $(1, 1)$ on M. An upper-semi continuous function $u : M \to [-\infty, \infty)$ in $L^1_{loc}(M)$ is said to be γ-p.s.h. if $dd^c u + \gamma \geq 0$. When M is a compact Kähler manifold of dimension N, ω^N is the standard volume form, we say that a function ϕ on M is quasi-plurisubharmonic (q.p.s.h. for short) if it is $c\omega$-p.s.h. for some constant $c > 0$. Set

$$\mathcal{F} := \{\phi \ q.p.s.h. \ on \ M : dd^c\phi \geq -\omega, \max_M \phi = 0\}. \tag{4}$$

Recall that a positive measure μ is (c, α)-moderate for some constants $c > 0, \alpha > 0$ if

$$\int_M \exp(-\alpha\phi) d\mu \leq c \tag{5}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$. The measure μ is called *moderate* if it is (c, α)-moderate for some constants $c > 0, \alpha > 0$.

We say that the analytic subsets $A_1, ..., A_m$ on X, $m \leq n$, are in *general position* if $\text{codim} A_{i_1} \cap ... \cap A_{i_k} \geq k$ for every $1 \leq k \leq m$ and $1 \leq i_1 < ... < i_k \leq m$. We denote by dist the distance on X induced by the fixed Kähler form ω. Let $\phi : U \to [-\infty, \infty)$ be a function on an open subset $U \subset X$, $A \subset X$ a proper analytic subset. Following the terminology in [8], ϕ is called *Hölder with singularities* along A if there are positive constants c, δ and $0 < \nu \leq 1$ such that

$$|\phi(z) - \phi(w)| \leq \frac{c\text{dist}(z, w)^\nu}{\min\{\text{dist}(z, A), \text{dist}(w, A)\}^\delta} \tag{6}$$

for all $z, w \in U \setminus A$. A singular metric h of L is defined to be *Hölder with singularities* along A if every local weight of h is Hölder with singularities along

A. For motivations as well as examples of such metrics, we refer the readers to [8].

The multi-projective space $\mathbb{X}_p$ in [8] is equipped with the probability measure σ_p^0 which is the product of the Lebesgue measures induced by Fubini-Study metrics on the components. In this paper, we define singular moderate measures σ_p as perturbations of σ_p^0 on $\mathbb{X}_p$. For each $p \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq d_{k,p}$, let $u_j^{k,p} : \mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X, L_k^p) \to \mathbb{R}$ be an upper-semi continuous function. Fix $0 < \rho < 1$ and a sequence of positive constants $\{c_p\}_{p\geq 1}$. We call $\{u_j^{k,p}\}$ *a family of* (c_p, ρ)-*functions* if all $u_j^{k,p}$ satisfy the following two conditions:

- $u_j^{k,p}$ is of class $\mathscr{C}^\rho$ with modulus c_p,
- $u_j^{k,p}$ is a $c_p\omega_{FS}$-p.s.h.

Then for each $p \geq 1$, there is a probability measure

$$\sigma_p = \prod_{k=1}^{m} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{d_{k,p}} \pi_{k,p}^\star(dd^c u_j^{k,p} + \omega_{FS}) \tag{7}$$

on $\mathbb{X}_p$. By [24, Theorem 1.1, Remark 2.12], $\bigwedge_{j=1}^{d_{k,p}}(dd^c u_j^{k,p} + \omega_{FS})$ is a moderate measure on $\mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X, L_k^p)$ when $c_p \leq 1/c^{p^n}$ for a suitable constant $c > 1$, $\forall 1 \leq k \leq m, p \geq 1$. We call

$$\sigma = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \sigma_p = \prod_{p=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{m} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{d_{k,p}} \pi_{k,p}^\star(dd^c u_j^{k,p} + \omega_{FS}) \tag{8}$$

a probability measure on $\mathbb{P}^X$ generated by a family of (c_p, ρ)-functions $\{u_j^{k,p}\}$ on $\{\mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X, L_k^p)\}$.

Here is our first main theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n, (L_k, h_k), $1 \leq k \leq m \leq n$, be m singular Hermitian holomorphic big line bundles on X. The metric h_k is continuous outside a proper analytic subset $A_k \subset X$, $c_1(L_k, h_k) \geq \epsilon\omega$ on X for some constant $\epsilon > 0$, and $A_1, ..., A_m$ are in general position. Let $0 < \rho < 1$. Then there exists a constant $c > 1$ which depends only on X, L_k, ρ with the following property: If σ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{P}^X$ generated by a family of $(1/c^{p^n}, \rho)$-functions $\{u_{k,p}^j\}$ on $\{\mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X, L_k^p)\}$ defined by (8), then for almost every $\{S_p\}_{p\geq 1} \in \mathbb{P}^X$ with respect to σ, we have in the weak sense of currents as $p \to \infty$ on X,*

$$\frac{1}{p^m}[S_p = 0] \to c_1(L_1, h_1) \wedge ... \wedge c_1(L_m, h_m).$$

Remark 1.2. *When all $u_{k,p}^j \equiv 0$, then σ_p are the Lebesgue measures σ_p^0 on $\mathbb{X}_p$ and we obtain [8, Theorem 1.2]. In addition, the constant c is independent of the choices of singular metrics on the big line bundles.*

When the metrics h_k are all Hölder with singularities, we can also extend the result in [8] about the estimate of the speed of the above convergence associated to the moderate measures defined by (7). Now we are able to state the second main theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *We keep the notations and the hypotheses of Theorem 1.1. Suppose, moreover, that h_k is Hölder with singularities along A_k, $1 \leq k \leq m$. Then there exist a positive constant ξ which depends only on m, and another positive constant C which depends on $X, (L_1, h_1), ..., (L_m, h_m)$ with the following property: Given any sequence of positive numbers $\{\lambda_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ with the following conditions*

$$\liminf_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{\log p} > (1+\xi n)C \quad \textit{and} \quad \lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{p^n} = 0,$$

there exist subsets $E_p \subset \mathbb{X}_p$ such that for all p sufficiently large,

(i)

$$\sigma_p(E_p) \leq Cp^{\xi n} \exp(-\frac{\lambda_p}{C}),$$

(ii) for any point $S_p \in \mathbb{X}_p \setminus E_p$ and any $(n-m, n-m)$-form ϕ of class $\mathscr{C}^2$,

$$\big|\big\langle \frac{1}{p^m}[S_p = 0] - \bigwedge_{k=1}^{m} c_1(L_k, h_k), \phi \big\rangle\big| \leq \frac{C\lambda_p}{p} \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}.$$

Remark 1.4. *The primary difference between Theorem 1.3 and [8, Theorem 1.4] is that the measures in Theorem 1.3 are moderate. In addition, the convergence speed in Theorem 1.3 is of order $O(\log p/p)$ when $\lambda_p = C_1 \log p$ for some constant $C_1 > 0$. So the result generalizes [12, Theorem 1.2] and [24, Theorem 1.2].*

Note that in Theorem 1.1 and all other equidistribution theorems of the papers listed in this section, the limit point of the convergence sequences can not be any positive closed current. For example, there is a condition that $c_1(L_k, h_k) \geq \epsilon\omega$ in Theorem 1.1. However, it is possible when $X = \mathbb{P}^n, L = \mathcal{O}(1), m = 1$ with a probability measure σ chosen properly.

Theorem 1.5. *Given any positive closed current T of bidegree $(1,1)$ with mass 1 on $\mathbb{P}^n$. Let $d_p = \dim H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(p)) - 1$. Then there exists a family of smooth probability measures $\sigma_p = (\omega_{FS} + dd^c u_p)^{d_p}$ on $\mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(p))$ for some smooth real functions u_p with the following property: For almost every $S = (S_p) \in \prod_{p\geq 1} \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(p))$ with respect to $\sigma = \prod_{p\geq 1} \sigma_p$, we have in the weak sense of currents as $p \to \infty$ on $\mathbb{P}^n$,*

$$\frac{1}{p}[S_p = 0] \to T.$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the notion of Fubini-Study currents and prove a Bertini type theorem associated to moderate measures. In Section 3 we first introduce several results of meromorphic transforms induced by Kodaira maps. This enables us to estimate some constants intimately associated to moderate measures. Consequently, we establish relevant estimates on multi-projective spaces. Section 4 is devoted to prove the main theorems.

2 Intersection of Fubini-Study currents and Bertini theorem for moderate measures

In this section, we introduce some results about the intersection of the Fubini-Study currents associated to m line bundles. We will see that the current $c_1(L_1, h_1) \wedge ... \wedge c_1(L_m, h_m)$ in Theorem 1.1 is well-defined. Based on the elementary techniques in [8], we also show that for almost all the zero-divisors of sections of high powers of the bundles with respect to a moderate measure are in general position. Then it follows from [11] that the currents $[S_p = 0]$ in Theorem 1.1 are well-defined for almost all S_p with respect to moderate measures σ in Theorem 1.1.

We keep the notations and hypotheses in Section 1. Consider the *Kodaira map*

$$\Phi_{k,p} : X \to \mathbb{P}(H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p_k)^\star).$$

Here $H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p_k)^\star$ is the dual space of $H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p_k)$. Choose $\{S^j_{k,p}\}_{j=0}^{d_{k,p}}$ as an orthonormal basis of $H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p_k)$. By an identification via the basis, it boils down to a meromorphic map

$$\Phi_{k,p} : X \to \mathbb{P}^{d_{k,p}}.$$

Now we give a local analytic description of the above map. Let $U \subset X$ be a contractible Stein open subset, e_k be a local holomorphic frame of L_k on U. Then there exists a holomorphic function $s^{k,p}_j$ on U such that $S^j_{k,p} = s^{k,p}_j e^{\otimes p}_k$. Then the map is expressed locally as

$$\Phi_{k,p}(x) = [s^{k,p}_0(x) : ... : s^{k,p}_{d_{k,p}}(x)], \quad \forall x \in U \tag{9}$$

It is called the Kodaira map defined by the basis $\{S^j_{k,p}\}_{j=0}^{d_{k,p}}$. Denote by $P_{k,p}$ the Bergman kernel function defined by

$$P_{k,p}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_{k,p}} |S^j_{k,p}(x)|^2_{h_{k,p}}, \quad |S^j_{k,p}(x)|^2_{h_{k,p}} = h_{k,p}(S^j_{k,p}(x), S^j_{k,p}(x)). \tag{10}$$

It is easy to see that this definition is independent of the choice of basis.

Recall that ω_{FS} is the normalized Fubini-Study form on $\mathbb{P}^{d_{k,p}}$. We define the Fubini-Study currents $\gamma_{k,p}$ of $H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p_k)$ as pullbacks of ω_{FS} by Kodaira map,

$$\gamma_{k,p} = \Phi^\star_{k,p}(\omega_{FS}). \tag{11}$$

We have in the local Stein open subset U,

$$\gamma_{k,p}\big|_U = \frac{1}{2} dd^c \log \sum_{j=0}^{d_{k,p}} |s^{k,p}_j|^2.$$

This yields

$$\frac{1}{p}\gamma_{k,p} = c_1(L_k, h_k) + \frac{1}{2p} dd^c \log P_{k,p}.$$

Since $\log P_{k,p}$ is a global function which belongs to $L^1(X, \omega^n)$, $\frac{1}{p}\gamma_{k,p}$ has the same cohomology class as $c_1(L_k, h_k)$. The base locus of $H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p_k)$ is denoted by

$$A_{k,p} = \{x \in X : S^j_{k,p} = 0, \forall 0 \leq j \leq d_{k,p}\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq m. \tag{12}$$

We have the following result [8, Proposition 3.1].

Proposition 2.1. *We keep the notations and hypotheses of Theorem 1.1, then*

(i) For every $J \subset \{1, ..., m\}$, $J' = \{1, ..., m\} \setminus J$, the analytic subsets $A_{k,p}$ and A_l, for $k \in J, l \in J'$, are in general position, when p is sufficiently large.

(ii) The currents

$$\bigwedge_{k\in J} \gamma_{k,p} \wedge \bigwedge_{l\in J'} c_1(L_l, h_l)$$

are well defined for every $J \subset \{1, ..., m\}$, when p is sufficiently large.

Lemma 2.2. *Let σ be a moderate measure on $\mathbb{P}^N$. Then every proper analytic subset of $\mathbb{P}^N$ has measure zero with respect to σ.*

Proof. By the homogeneity of $\mathbb{P}^N$, it is sufficient to prove that

$$\sigma([z_0]) = 0$$

for some homogeneous coordinate $[z_0, ..., z_N]$. By the definition of a moderate measure (cf. (5)), there exist constants $c > 0, \alpha > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^N} \exp(-\alpha\phi) d\sigma \leq c,$$

$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is defined in (1). It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^N} |\phi| d\sigma < \infty.$$

Let $\phi = \log \frac{|z_0|}{|z|}$, where $|z|^2 = \sum_{j=0}^{N} |z_j|^2$. This function is well defined on $\mathbb{P}^N$. Note that ϕ is ω_{FS}-p.s.h., $\max_{z\in\mathbb{P}^N} \phi(z) = 0$. Then $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$. So we have that

$$\int_{[z_0=0]} \big|\log \frac{|z_0|}{|z|}\big| d\sigma < \infty.$$

Hence $\sigma([z_0]) = 0$. The proof is completed. □

Adapting the proof of [8, Proposition 3.2], we obtain the following Bertini type theorem in the context of moderate measures.

Proposition 2.3. *Let X be a compact complex manifold of dimension n. Let $L_k, 1 \leq k \leq m \leq n$, be m holomorphic line bundles on X. If*

(i) V_k is a vector subspace of $H^0(X, L_k)$ with basis $S_{k,0}, ..., S_{k,d_k}$, the base loci $BsV_1, ..., BsV_m$ are in general position, where $BsV_k := \{x \in X : S_{k,0}(x) = ... = S_{k,d_k}(x) = 0\}$.

(ii) For each $t_k = [t_{k,0} : ... : t_{k,d_k}] \in \mathbb{P}^{d_k}$, we set

$$Z(t_k) := \{x \in X : \sum_{j=0}^{d_k} t_{k,j} S_{k,j}(x) = 0\}. \tag{13}$$

(iii) $\sigma = \sigma_1 \times ... \times \sigma_m$ is the product measure on the multi-projective space $\mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{d_m}$, where σ_k is a probability moderate measure on $\mathbb{P}^{d_k}$.

Then the analytic subsets $Z(t_1), ..., Z(t_m)$ are in general position for almost all $(t_1, ..., t_m) \in \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{d_m}$ with respect to σ.

Proof. Let $\sigma_{l_1...l_k} = \sigma_{l_1} \times ... \times \sigma_{l_k}$ be the product measure on $\mathbb{P}^{d_{l_1}} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{d_{l_k}}$ for every $1 \leq l_1 < ... < l_k \leq m$. Set

$$U_k = \{(t_{l_1}, ..., t_{l_k}) \in \mathbb{P}^{d_{l_1}} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{d_{l_k}} : \dim Z(t_{l_1}) \cap ... \cap Z(t_{l_k}) \cap \tilde{V}_j \leq n - k - j\},$$

where $1 \leq l_1 < ... < l_k \leq m, \tilde{V}_0 = X, \tilde{V}_j = BsV_{i_1} \cap ... \cap BsV_{i_j}$ for some $i_1 < ... < i_j$ in $\{1, ..., m\} \setminus \{l_1, ..., l_k\}$ if $1 \leq j \leq m - k$. Note that the sets U_k depend on the choices of $l_1, ..., l_k, j$ and $\tilde{V}_j$.

It is sufficient to prove that

$$\sigma_{l_1...l_k}(U_k) = 1$$

by induction on k for every subset U_k, $1 \leq l_1 < ... < l_k \leq m, 0 \leq j \leq m - k$. We only consider the case when $\{l_1, ..., l_k\} = \{1, ..., k\}$. Write $\sigma'_k = \sigma_{1...k}$ for short. We first consider the case when $k = 1$. If $j = 0$, then

$$U_1 = \{t_1 \in \mathbb{P}^{d_1} : \dim Z(t_1) \leq n - 1\} = \mathbb{P}^{d_1}.$$

If $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$, let $\tilde{V}_j = \bigcup_{l=1}^{N} D_l \cup B$, where the subsets D_l are the irreducible components of $\tilde{V}_j$ of dimension $n - j$ and B is of dimension less than $n - j$.

So $\{t_1 \in \mathbb{P}^{d_1} : D_l \subset Z(t_1)\}$ is a proper linear subspace of $\mathbb{P}^{d_1}$. If not, $D_l \subset BsV_1$ implies that $\dim \tilde{V}_j \cap BsV_1 = n - j$, which contradicts the condition that $BsV_1, ..., BsV_m$ are in general position. We know that $\dim Z(t_1) \cap \tilde{V}_j \geq n - j$ if $t_1 \in \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \setminus U_1$. Since $Z(t_1) \cap \tilde{V}_j$ is an analytic subset in $\tilde{V}_j$, $D_l \subset Z(t_1) \cap \tilde{V}_j$ for some l. It follows that

$$\mathbb{P}^{d_1} \setminus U_1 = \bigcup_{l=1}^{N} \{t_1 \in \mathbb{P}^{d_1} : D_l \subset Z(t_1)\}.$$

Hence we have that $\sigma_1(\mathbb{P}^{d_1} \setminus U_1) = 0$ by Lemma 2.2.

Now we can assume that $\sigma'_k(U_k) = 1$ for any U_k defined as above. Set

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{k+1} = \{&(t_1, ..., t_{k+1}) \in \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{d_{k+1}} : \\
&\dim Z(t_1) \cap ... \cap Z(t_{k+1}) \cap \tilde{V}_j \leq n - k - 1 - j\}, \\
U' = \{&(t_1, ..., t_k) \in \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{d_k} : \\
&\dim Z(t_1) \cap ... \cap Z(t_k) \cap \tilde{V}_j \leq n - k - j\}, \\
U'' = \{&(t_1, ..., t_k) \in \mathbb{P}^{d_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{d_k} : \\
&\dim Z(t_1) \cap ... \cap Z(t_k) \cap \tilde{V}_j \cap BsV_{k+1} \leq n - k - 1 - j\},
\end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq j \leq m - k - 1, \tilde{V}_0 = X, \tilde{V}_j = BsV_{i_1} \cap ... \cap BsV_{i_j}$ for $k + 2 \leq i_1 < ... < i_j \leq m$ if $1 \leq j \leq m - k - 1$. Let $U = U' \cap U''$. By induction on k, we know that $\sigma'_k(U') = \sigma'_k(U'') = 1$, thus $\sigma'_k(U) = 1$.

We need to prove that

$$\sigma'_{k+1}(U_{k+1}) = 1.$$

It is enough to show that

$$\sigma'_{k+1}(W) = 0, \quad W := (U \times \mathbb{P}^{d_{k+1}}) \setminus U_{k+1}.$$

Given some $t = (t_1, ..., t_k) \in U$, set

$$\begin{aligned}
Z(t) &:= Z(t_1) \cap ... \cap Z(t_k), \\
W(t) &:= \{t_{k+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{d_{k+1}} : \dim Z(t) \cap \tilde{V}_j \cap Z(t_{k+1}) \geq n - k - j\},
\end{aligned}$$

then it is sufficient to show that $\sigma_{k+1}(W(t)) = 0$.

Let $Z(t) \cap \tilde{V}_j = \bigcup_{l=1}^{N} D_l \cup B$, where D_l are irreducible components of $Z(t) \cap \tilde{V}_j$ of dimension $n - k - j$, $\dim B \leq n - k - 1 - j$ as $t \in U \subset U'$. By the same argument in the above, if $t_{k+1} \in W(t)$, then $Z(t) \cap \tilde{V}_j \cap Z(t_{k+1})$ is an analytic subset of $Z(t) \cap \tilde{V}_j$ of dimension $n - k - j$, hence there exists some l such that $D_l \subset Z(t) \cap \tilde{V}_j \cap Z(t_{k+1})$. We obtain that

$$W(t) = \bigcup_{l=1}^{N} F_l(t), \quad F_l(t) := \{t_{k+1} \in \mathbb{P}^{d_{k+1}} : D_l \subset Z(t_{k+1})\}.$$

We claim that not all the sections of V_{k+1} can vanish on D_l. If not, that is to say, $D_l \subset BsV_{k+1}$, this implies that

$$\dim Z_t \cap \tilde{V}_j \cap BsV_{k+1} = n-k-j,$$

which contradicts the fact that $t \in U''$. Hence we can suppose that $S_{k+1,d_{k+1}} \not\equiv 0$ on D_l. So

$$F_l(t) \subset \{t_{k+1,0} = 0\} \cup G_l(t),$$
$$G_l(t) := \{[1 : t_{k+1,1} : ... : t_{k+1,d_{k+1}}] \in \mathbb{P}^{d_{k+1}} : D_l \subset Z([1 : t_{k+1,1} : ... : t_{k+1,d_{k+1}}])\}.$$

There exists at most one $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $[1 : t_{k+1,1} : ... : t_{k+1,d_{k+1}-1} : \eta] \in G_l(t)$ for any $(t_{k+1,1}, ..., t_{k+1,d_{k+1}-1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_{k+1}-1}$. Otherwise, if there exist two complex numbers $\eta \neq \eta'$, which satisfy the property, then we have on D_l,

$$S_{k+1,0} + t_{k+1,1}S_{k+1,1} + ... + t_{k+1,d_{k+1}-1}S_{k+1,d_{k+1}-1} + \eta S_{k+1,d_{k+1}} \equiv 0,$$
$$S_{k+1,0} + t_{k+1,1}S_{k+1,1} + ... + t_{k+1,d_{k+1}-1}S_{k+1,d_{k+1}-1} + \eta' S_{k+1,d_{k+1}} \equiv 0.$$

Then we have a contradiction with that $S_{k+1,d_{k+1}} \not\equiv 0$ on D_l. This implies that $\sigma_{k+1}(G_l(t)) = 0$. Moreover, $\sigma_{k+1}(F_l(t)) = 0$. Hence $\sigma_{k+1}(W(t)) = 0$. This completes the proof. □

In the setting of Theorem 1.1, let $V_{k,p} = H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p_k)$ with orthonormal basis $\{S^j_{k,p}\}_{j=0}^{d_{k,p}}$. Then the base locus of $V_{k,p}$ is $A_{k,p}$. Let $Z(t_k)$ be an analytic hypersurface for any $t_k = [t_{k,0} : ... : t_{k,d_{k,p}}] \in \mathbb{P}^{d_{k,p}}$, defined in (13). Let σ_p be the product measure of probability moderate measures on $\mathbb{P}^{d_{1,p}} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{d_{m,p}}$ in Theorem 1.1 (cf. (7)). Arguing as in the proof of [8, Proposition 3.3], we obtain the following

Proposition 2.4. *In the above setting,*

(i) The analytic subsets $Z(t_1), ..., Z(t_m)$ are in general position, for almost every $(t_1, ..., t_m) \in \mathbb{P}^{d_{1,p}} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{d_{m,p}}$ with respect to σ_p, when p is sufficiently large.

(ii) $Z(t_{i_1}) \cap ... \cap Z(t_{i_k})$ is of pure dimension $n-k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq m, 1 \leq i_1 < ... < i_k \leq m$.

3 Dinh-Sibony equidistribution theorem and estimates on multi-projective spaces

In this section, we introduce the techniques by Dinh-Sibony [14] and some results in [8]. After giving some notions, we prove the crucial estimates on multi-projective spaces associated to certain moderate measures.

Let (X, ω) (resp. (Y, ω_Y)) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n (resp. n_Y). Recall that a *meromorphic transform* $F : X \to Y$ is the graph of

an analytic subset $\Gamma \subset X \times Y$ of pure dimension $n_Y + k$ such that the natural projections $\pi_1 : X \times Y \to X$ and $\pi_2 : X \times Y \to Y$ restricted to each irreducible component of the analytic subset Γ are surjective. We write $F = \pi_2 \circ (\pi_1|_\Gamma)^{-1}$. The dimension of the fiber $F^{-1}(y) := \pi_1(\pi_2^{-1}|_\Gamma(y))$ is equal to k for the point $y \in Y$ generic. This is the codimension of the meromorphic transform F. If T is a current of bidegree (l, l) on Y, $n_Y + k - n \leq l \leq n_Y$, we define

$$F^\star(T) := (\pi_1)_\star(\pi_2^\star(T) \wedge [\Gamma]), \tag{14}$$

where $[\Gamma]$ is the current of integration over Γ. We introduce the notations of intermediate degrees of F,

$$\begin{aligned} d(F) &:= \int_X F^\star(\omega_Y^{n_Y}) \wedge \omega^k, \\ \delta(F) &:= \int_X F^\star(\omega_Y^{n_Y - 1}) \wedge \omega^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

To introduce more notions and notations, we first recall the following lemma in [14, Proposition 2.2].

Lemma 3.1. *There exists a constant $r > 0$ such that for any positive closed current T of bidegree $(1, 1)$ with mass 1 on (X, ω), there is a smooth $(1, 1)$-form α which depends only on the cohomology class of T and a q.p.s.h. function φ satisfying that*

$$-r\omega \leq \alpha \leq r\omega, \quad dd^c\varphi - T = \alpha.$$

Denote by $r(X, \omega)$ the smallest r in Lemma 3.1. For example, $r(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}) = 1$. Consider a positive measure μ on X. μ is said to be a PLB measure if all q.p.s.h. functions are integrable with respect to μ. It is easy to see that all moderate measures are PLB. Now given a PLB probability measure μ on X and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define,

$$\begin{aligned} Q(X, \omega) :&= \{\varphi \text{ q.p.s.h. on } X, dd^c\varphi \geq -r(X, \omega)\omega\}, \\ R(X, \omega, \mu) :&= \sup\{\max_X \varphi : \varphi \in Q(X, \omega), \int_X \varphi d\mu = 0\} \\ &= \sup\{-\int_X \varphi d\mu : \varphi \in Q(X, \omega), \max_X \varphi = 0\}, \\ S(X, \omega, \mu) :&= \sup\{\Big|\int \varphi d\mu\Big| : \varphi \in Q(X, \omega), \int_X \varphi\omega^n = 0\}, \\ \Delta(X, \omega, \mu, t) :&= \sup\{\mu(\varphi < -t) : \varphi \in Q(X, \omega), \int_X \varphi d\mu = 0\}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

These constants are related to Alexander-Dinh-Sibony capacity, see [14, A. 2] and [19, Section 5].

Let Φ_p be a sequence of meromorphic transforms from a projective manifold (X,ω) into the compact Kähler manifolds $(\mathbb{X}_p,\omega_p)$ of the same codimension k, where $\mathbb{X}_p$ is defined in (1). Let

$$d_{0,p}=d_{1,p}+...+d_{m,p}$$

be the dimension of $\mathbb{X}_p$. Consider a PLB probability measure μ_p on $\mathbb{X}_p$, for every $p>0,\epsilon>0$, we define

$$E_p(\epsilon):=\bigcup_{\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}\leq 1}\{x_p\in\mathbb{X}_p:\left|\left\langle\Phi_p^\star(\delta_{x_p})-\Phi_p^\star(\mu_p),\phi\right\rangle\right|\geq d(\Phi_p)\epsilon\},\tag{16}$$

where δ_{x_p} is the Dirac measure at the point x_p. By the definition of the pullback of Φ_p on currents, we see that $\Phi_p^\star(\delta_{x_p})$ and $\Phi_p^\star(\mu_p)$ are positive closed currents of bidimension (k,k) on X. Moreover, $\Phi_p^\star(\delta_{x_p})$ is well defined for $x_p\in\mathbb{X}_p$ generic. The following estimate from Dinh-Sibony equidistribution theorem [14] is crucial in our paper.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\eta_{\epsilon,p}:=\epsilon\delta(\Phi_p)^{-1}d(\Phi_p)-3R(\mathbb{X}_p,\omega_p,\mu_p)$, then*

$$\mu_p(E_p(\epsilon))\leq\Delta(\mathbb{X}_p,\omega_p,\mu_p,\eta_{\epsilon,p}).$$

We also need the following important estimate, which was deduced easily from [14, Lemma 4.2(c),Proposition 4.3].

Theorem 3.3. *In the above setting, we have*

$$\left|\left\langle d(\Phi_p)^{-1}(\Phi_p^\star(\mu_p)-\Phi_p^\star(\omega_p^{d_{0,p}})),\phi\right\rangle\right|\leq 2S(\mathbb{X}_p,\omega_p,\mu_p)\delta(\Phi_p)d(\Phi_p)^{-1}\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}$$

for any (k,k)-form ϕ of class $\mathscr{C}^2$ on X.

From now on, we study the special case when the meromorphic transforms are induced by Kodaira maps defined in Section 2. We keep the settings from Section 1 in the sequel. Recall that the Kodaira map is defined in (9) with an orthonormal basis $\{S_{k,p}^j\}_{j=0}^{d_{k,p}}$ on $\mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X,L_k^p)$. We claim that the map is a meromorphic transform with the graph

$$\Gamma_{k,p}=\{(x,S)\in X\times\mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X,L_k^p):S(x)=0\}.\tag{17}$$

Since $\dim H^0_{(2)}(X,L_k^p)\geq p^n/C\geq 2$ for some constant $C>0$ (see Theorem 4.1), then for every point $x\in X$, there exists a section $S\in H^0_{(2)}(X,L_k^p)$ such that $S(x)=0$. Hence the projection from $\Gamma_{k,p}$ to X is surjective. Since L_k^p is not trivial, then there are no nowhere vanishing sections. That is to say, every global section $S\in H^0_{(2)}(X,L_k^p)$ must vanish at some point $x\in X$. Hence the projection from $\Gamma_{k,p}$ to $\mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X,L_k^p)$ is surjective. The claim is proved. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\Phi_{k,p}(x)=\{S\in\mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X,L_k^p):S(x)=0\},\\&\Phi_{k,p}^{-1}(S)=\{x\in X:S(x)=0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\Phi_p : X \to \mathbb{X}_p$$

be the product map of $\Phi_{1,p}, ..., \Phi_{m,p}$. We claim that Φ_p is also a meromorphic transform with the graph

$$\Gamma_p = \{(x, S_{p1}, ..., S_{pm}) \in X \times \mathbb{X}_p : S_{p1}(x) = ... = S_{pm}(x) = 0\}.$$

By the argument below (17), for every point $x \in X$ there exist $S_{pk} \in H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p_k)$ such that $S_{pk}(x) = 0$, $\forall 1 \leq k \leq m$. So the projection $\Pi_1 : \Gamma_p \to X$ is surjective. The projection $\Pi_2 : \Gamma_p \to \mathbb{X}_p$ is proper, then the image $\Pi_2(\Gamma_p)$ is an analytic subvariety of $\mathbb{X}_p$ by Remmert's proper mapping theorem [18]. Note that the zero set of every $S_{pk} \in H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p_k)$ is represented by $Z(t_k)$ for some t_k defined in (13). Then by Proposition 2.4 for almost every $(S_{p1}, ..., S_{pm}) \in \mathbb{X}_p$ with respect to σ_p, the common zero set of $S_{p1}, ..., S_{pm}$ is of pure dimension $n - m \geq 0$. Then there exists some point $x \in X$ such that $(x, S_{p1}, ..., S_{pm}) \in \Gamma_p$. So $\sigma_p(\Pi_2(\Gamma_p)) = 1$. Hence Π_2 is surjective. Indeed, if Π_2 is not surjective, then $\Pi_2(\Gamma_p)$ is a proper analytic subvariety of $\mathbb{X}_p$, Lemma 2.2 implies that $\sigma_p(\Pi_2(\Gamma_p)) = 0$, a contradiction. Hence Φ_p is a meromorphic transform of codimension $n - m$ with fibers

$$\Phi_p^{-1}(S_p) = \{x \in X : S_{p1}(x) = ... = S_{pm}(x) = 0\},$$

where $S_p = (S_{p1}, ..., S_{pm}) \in \mathbb{X}_p$.

Considering the product map of any $\Phi_{i_1,p}, ..., \Phi_{i_k,p}, 1 \leq i_1 < ... < i_k \leq m$, it follows from Proposition 2.4 that, the analytic subsets $(S_{p1} = 0), ..., (S_{pm} = 0)$ are in general position for $S_p = (S_{p1}, ..., S_{pm}) \in \mathbb{X}_p$ generic. Then by [11], the current $[S_p = 0] = [S_{p1} = 0] \wedge ... \wedge [S_{pm} = 0]$ of bidegree (m, m) is well defined for allmost all $S_p \in \mathbb{X}_p$ with respect to σ_p.

Lemma 3.4. *Denote by δ_{S_p} the Dirac measure at the point $S_p \in \mathbb{X}_p$, then $\Phi_p^\star(\delta_{S_p}) = [S_p = 0]$.*

Proof. By the definition of pullbacks of meromorphic transforms in (14), we have

$$\Phi_p^\star(\delta_{S_p}) = \Pi_{1\star}(\Pi_2^\star(\delta_{S_p}) \wedge [\Gamma_p]).$$

For any test $(n - m, n - m)$-form ϕ on X,

$$\begin{aligned}
\big\langle \Phi_p^\star(\delta_{S_p}), \phi \big\rangle &= \int_{X \times \mathbb{X}_p} \Pi_2^\star(\delta_{S_p}) \wedge [\Gamma_p] \wedge \Pi_1^\star(\phi) \\
&= \int_{X \times \mathbb{X}_p} [\Pi_2^{-1}(S_p)] \wedge [\Gamma_p] \wedge \Pi_1^\star(\phi) \\
&= \int_{\Pi_2^{-1}(S_p) \cap \Gamma_p} \Pi_1^\star(\phi) = \int_{\{x \in X : S_p(x) = 0\}} \phi \\
&= \big\langle [S_p = 0], \phi \big\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. □

Remark 3.5. *Note that* $\Phi_{k,p}^{\star}(\delta_{S_{pk}}) = [S_{pk} = 0]$ *for each* $1 \leq k \leq m$. *The same argument yields*

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_p^{\star}(\delta_{S_p}) &= [S_p = 0] = [S_{p1} = 0] \wedge ... \wedge [S_{pm} = 0] \\ &= \Phi_{1,p}^{\star}(\delta_{S_{p1}}) \wedge ... \wedge \Phi_{m,p}^{\star}(\delta_{S_{pm}}).\end{aligned}$$

Recall that $\pi_{k,p} : \mathbb{X}_p \to \mathbb{P}H^0_{(2)}(X, L_k^p)$ is the natural projection. Set

$$\omega_p := c_{0,p}(\pi_{1,p}^{\star}\omega_{FS} + ... + \pi_{m,p}^{\star}\omega_{FS}). \tag{18}$$

We always assume that $\omega_p^{d_{0,p}}$ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{X}_p$. Then $c_{0,p}$ satisfies the following condition,

$$(c_{0,p})^{-d_{0,p}} = \frac{d_{0,p}!}{d_{1,p}!...d_{m,p}!}. \tag{19}$$

The sequence $\{c_{0,p}\}$ has a lower bound by using Stirling's formula (cf. [12, p9] and [8, Lemma 4.3]).

Lemma 3.6. *There exists a positive constant* c_0 *such that* $c_{0,p} \geq c_0$ *for all* $p \geq 1$.

To simplify the notations, we write

$$\begin{aligned}d_p = d(\Phi_p) &:= \int_X \Phi_p^{\star}(\omega_p^{d_{0,p}}) \wedge \omega^{n-m}, \\ \delta_p = \delta(\Phi_p) &:= \int_X \Phi_p^{\star}(\omega_p^{d_{0,p}-1}) \wedge \omega^{n-m+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Using the classical cohomological arguments, d_p and δ_p can be calculated as follows [8, Lemma 4.4].

Proposition 3.7. *In the above setting, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}d_p &= p^m \|c_1(L_1, h_1) \wedge ... \wedge c_1(L_m, h_m)\|, \\ \delta_p &= \frac{p^{m-1}}{c_{0,p}} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \frac{d_{k,p}}{d_{0,p}} \Big\| \bigwedge_{l=1, l\neq k}^{m} c_1(L_l, h_l) \Big\|.\end{aligned}$$

Remark 3.8. *Lemma 3.6 implies that* $\delta_p \leq Cp^{m-1}$ *for some constant* $C > 0$ *which depends on* $(L_k, h_k), 1 \leq k \leq m$.

Recall that $\gamma_{k,p} = \Phi_{k,p}^{\star}(\omega_{FS})$ is the Fubini-Study current in (11).

Proposition 3.9. [8, Lemma 4.5] $\Phi_p^{\star}(\omega_p^{d_{0,p}}) = \gamma_{1,p} \wedge ... \wedge \gamma_{m,p}$ *for all* p *sufficiently large.*

We recall the construction of moderate measures in the settings of Theorem 1.1. Consider the functions $u_j : \mathbb{P}^N \to \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq j \leq N$. Fix an exponent $0 < \rho < 1$. Let $\{u_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be a family of (c_N, ρ)-functions defined above (7), where $\{c_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of positive numbers. Set

$$\sigma_N := \wedge_{j=1}^N (dd^c u_j + \omega_{FS}). \tag{20}$$

This is a probability measure on $\mathbb{P}^N$. We restate the following result from [24, Remark 2.12]. It shows that σ_N is a moderate measure for suitable c_N depending only on ρ and N (e.g. $c_N = O(1/c^N)$, where the constant $c > 1$ depends only on ρ).

Proposition 3.10. *In the above setting, there exists a constant $0 < c_N < 1$ for the measure σ_N which depends only on ρ and N such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^N} \exp(-\alpha_0 (\frac{\rho}{4})^N \phi) d\sigma_N \leq \beta_0 N \tag{21}$$

for all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$, where $\alpha_0 < 1, \beta_0$ are universal positive constants, and where $\mathcal{F}$ is defined in (4) for $M = \mathbb{P}^N$ with the normalized Fubini-Study form. That is to say, σ_N is $(\beta_0 N, \alpha_0 (\frac{\rho}{4})^N)$-moderate.

Now we are in a position to prove the important estimates on R and Δ.

Proposition 3.11. *Under the above hypotheses, there exist universal positive constants $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ such that $\forall t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}, \sigma_N) &\leq \beta_2 + \frac{1}{2} \log N, \\ \Delta(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}, \sigma_N, t) &\leq \beta_0 N \exp(-\alpha_0 t) + \beta_1 (\frac{\rho}{4})^N \exp(-\alpha_0 (\frac{\rho}{4})^N t). \end{aligned}$$

When $t \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0}(\log N + N \log \frac{4}{\rho})$, we have

$$\Delta(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}, \sigma_N, t) \leq \beta_3 N \exp(-\alpha_0 t).$$

Proof. By [14, Proposition A.3],

$$R(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}, \omega_{FS}^N) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + \log N).$$

We write

$$\mu_{1,N} = \omega_{FS}^N, \quad \mu_{2,N} = \bigwedge_{j=1}^N (dd^c u_j + c_N \omega_{FS} + \omega_{FS}) - \omega_{FS}^N.$$

The measure $\mu_{2,N}$ is positive since u_j is $c_N\omega_{FS}$-p.s.h.. Recall that σ_N is defined in (20), we have $\sigma_N \leq \mu_{1,N}+\mu_{2,N}$. By [24, Proposition 2.11], there exists a universal positive constant β_1 such that for all $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{P}^N} \exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^N\phi)d\mu_{2,N} \leq \beta_1(\frac{\rho}{4})^N. \tag{22}$$

Recall that $r(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}) = 1$. It follows from the definitions of $R(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}, \sigma_N)$ in (15) and $\mathcal{F}$ in (4) that

$$R(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}, \sigma_N) = \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{F}}\big\{-\int \phi d\sigma_N\big\}.$$

Since $\phi \leq 0, \sigma_N \leq \mu_{1,N} + \mu_{2,N}$ and $\sigma_N, \mu_{1,N}, \mu_{2,N}$ are all positive measures, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}, \sigma_N) &\leq \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{F}}\big\{-\int \phi d\mu_{1,N} - \int \phi d\mu_{2,N}\big\} \\ &\leq R(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}, \omega_{FS}^N) + \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{F}}\big\{\int \exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^N\phi)d\mu_{2,N}/(\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^N)\big\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}(1+\log N) + \frac{\beta_1}{\alpha_0} \leq \beta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\log N, \end{aligned}$$

where the third inequality is deduced from (22) and [24, Proposition 2.2]. It follows from [24, Inequality (13)] that

$$\Delta(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}, \sigma_N, t) \leq \beta_0 N\exp(-\alpha_0 t) + \beta_1(\frac{\rho}{4})^N\exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^N t).$$

Let

$$N\exp(-\alpha_0 t) = (\frac{\rho}{4})^N\exp(-\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^N t),$$

then

$$t = \frac{\log N + N\log\frac{4}{\rho}}{\alpha_0(1-(\frac{\rho}{4})^N)}.$$

Hence

$$\Delta(\mathbb{P}^N, \omega_{FS}, \sigma_N, t) \leq \beta_3 N\exp(-\alpha_0 t),$$

when $t \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0}(\log N + N\log\frac{4}{\rho})$. This completes the proof. □

Now we study the estimates on multi-projective spaces. Let $\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1}, ..., \mathbb{P}^{\ell_m}$ be m projective spaces. Let $\pi_k : \mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_m} \to \mathbb{P}^{\ell_k}$ be the natural projection map. Let σ_k be a probability moderate measure with respect to a family of (c_{ℓ_k}, ρ)-functions $\{u_{k,j}\}_{j=1}^{\ell_k}$ on $\mathbb{P}^{\ell_k}$ defined above (7). In the sequel of this section, c_{ℓ_k}

is always chosen such that the probability measure σ_k satisfies the property of Proposition 3.10 (hence Proposition 3.11). Let $\ell = \ell_1 + ... + \ell_m$ and

$$\omega_{MP} := c_{1m}(\pi_1^\star(\omega_{FS}) + ... + \pi_m^\star(\omega_{FS})), \quad c_{1m}^{-\ell} = \frac{\ell!}{\ell_1!...\ell_m!}.$$

It is equivalent to that ω_{MP}^ℓ is a probability measure. Recall that the notation $r(\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_m}, \omega_{MP})$ is defined after Lemma 3.1. We have the following lemma [8, Lemma 4.6].

Lemma 3.12. *Under the above hypotheses,*

$$r(\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_m}, \omega_{MP}) \leq r(\ell_1, ...\ell_m) := \max_{1\leq k\leq m} \frac{\ell}{\ell_k}.$$

We first consider the case when $m = 2$. The corresponding result of estimates in a simpler case was proved in [14, Proposition A.8]. Set $\omega_{12} := \omega_{MP}$ as the the Kähler form on $\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_2}$. Denote by σ the product of σ_1 and σ_2. Write $r := r(\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_2}, \omega_{12})$. Lemma 3.12 guarantees the existence of sufficiently large ℓ_1, ℓ_2 such that

$$\frac{r\log(\ell_1 + \ell_2)}{\min(\ell_1, \ell_2)} \ll 1.$$

Proposition 3.13. *In the above setting, let $\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1}$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}^{\ell_2}$) be a projective space endowed with a probability moderate measure σ_1 (resp. σ_2) satisfying Proposition 3.11. Suppose that ℓ_1, ℓ_2 are chosen sufficiently large such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r\log(\ell_1 + \ell_2)}{\min(\ell_1, \ell_2)} &\ll 1, \\ (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\min(\ell_1,\ell_2)}(\ell_1 + \ell_2) &\ll 1. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Then there exist universal positive constants β_4, β_5 such that for $0 \leq t \leq \min(\ell_1, \ell_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_2}, \omega_{12}, \sigma, t) &\leq \beta_4(\ell_1 + \ell_2)^{1+\frac{\alpha_0}{2}} \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r}t), \\ R(\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_2}, \omega_{12}, \sigma) &\leq \beta_5 r(1 + \log(\ell_1 + \ell_2)). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Proof. To simplify the notations, let $X_1 = \mathbb{P}^{\ell_1}, X_2 = \mathbb{P}^{\ell_2}, X = X_1 \times X_2$. Denote by ω_1 (resp. ω_2) the normalized Fubini-Study form ω_{FS} in $\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1}$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}^{\ell_2}$). Consider a function ψ on X with the conditions that $\max_X \psi = 0, dd^c\psi \geq -r\omega_{12}$. Fix a point (a, b) such that $\psi(a, b) = 0$. Let E be the set of all points with $\psi < -t$ for $t \geq 0$. We write $E = (\psi < -t)$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} F :&= \{x_2 \in X_2, \psi(a, x_2) < -t/2\}, \\ E_{x_2} :&= \{x_1 \in X_1, \psi(x_1, x_2) < -t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$E' := \bigcup_{x_2 \in X_2 \setminus F} (E_{x_2} \times \{x_2\}).$$

Note that $E \subset \pi_2^{-1}(F) \cup E'$.

We first estimate the measure of $\pi_2^{-1}(F)$. Let $\psi_1(x_2) := \psi(a, x_2)$, then $\max_{X_2} \psi_1 = \psi_1(b) = 0$. Define a new function $\psi_2 := \psi_1 - \int \psi_1 d\sigma_2$. Note that

$$\int \psi_2 d\sigma_2 = 0, \quad \psi_2 \geq \psi_1 \quad dd^c \psi_2 \geq -r\omega_2.$$

Let $R := \beta_2 + \frac{1}{2}\log(\ell_1 + \ell_2)$. Since $r(X_2, \omega_2) = 1$, then by Proposition 3.11, we have

$$-\int \psi_1 d\sigma_2 = \max_{X_2} \psi_2 \leq rR(X_2, \omega_2, \sigma_2) \leq rR.$$

Hence by hypotheses,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_2(F) &\leq \sigma_2(\psi_2 \leq rR - t/2) \\
&= \sigma_2(r^{-1}\psi_2 \leq R - r^{-1}t/2) \leq \Delta(X_2, \omega_2, \sigma_2, r^{-1}t/2 - R) \\
&\leq \beta_0 \ell_2 \exp(\alpha_0 R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} t) + \beta_1 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_2} \exp(\alpha_0 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_2} R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_2} t).
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

When

$$\frac{t}{2r} - R \leq \frac{1}{\alpha_0}(\log \ell_2 + \ell_2 \log \frac{4}{\rho}),$$

i.e.

$$t \leq \frac{2r}{\alpha_0} \log \ell_2 + \frac{2r}{\alpha_0} \ell_2 \log \frac{4}{\rho} + 2r\beta_2 + r \log(\ell_1 + \ell_2),$$

it yields

$$\sigma_2(F) \leq \beta_3 \ell_2 \exp(\alpha_0 R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} t). \tag{26}$$

Since $r \geq 1$ (cf. Lemma 3.12), $\alpha_0 < 1$, $\log \frac{4}{\rho} > 1$, (26) holds obviously when $0 \leq t \leq \ell_2$. By Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\pi_2^{-1}(F)) \leq\ & \beta_0 \ell_2 \exp(\alpha_0 R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} t) \\
& + \beta_1 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_2} \exp(\alpha_0 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_2} R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_2} t).
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

When $0 \leq t \leq \ell_2$,

$$\sigma(\pi_2^{-1}(F)) \leq \beta_3 \ell_2 \exp(\alpha_0 R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} t). \tag{28}$$

We secondly estimate the measure of E'. For any $x_2 \in X_2 \setminus F$, let $\psi_3(x_1) := \psi(x_1, x_2)$, then $\psi_3 \le 0, \max_{X_1} \psi_3 \ge \psi(a, x_2) \ge -t/2$ and $dd^c\psi_3 \ge -r\omega_1$. Define a new function $\psi_4 := \psi_3 - \int_{X_1} \psi_3 d\sigma_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} -\int \psi_3 d\sigma_1 &\le \max_{X_1} \psi_4 + t/2 \\ &\le rR(X_1, \omega_1, \sigma_1) + t/2 \le rR + t/2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the same argument,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(E_{x_2}) &\le \sigma_1(\psi_4 \le rR - t/2) \\ &\le \beta_0 \ell_1 \exp(\alpha_0 R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} t) \\ &+ \beta_1 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_1} \exp(\alpha_0 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_1} R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_1} t). \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

When $0 \le t \le \ell_1$,

$$\sigma_1(E_{x_2}) \le \beta_3 \ell_1 \exp(\alpha_0 R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} t). \tag{30}$$

By Fubini theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(E') &\le \beta_0 \ell_1 \exp(\alpha_0 R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} t) \\ &+ \beta_1 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_1} \exp(\alpha_0 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_1} R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_1} t). \end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

When $0 \le t \le \ell_1$,

$$\sigma(E') \le \beta_3 \ell_1 \exp(\alpha_0 R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} t). \tag{32}$$

So by estimates (27) and (31) for $t \ge 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\psi < -t) &\le \beta_0 (\ell_1 + \ell_2) \exp(\alpha_0 R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} t) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{2} \beta_1 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j} \exp(\alpha_0 (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j} R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j} t). \end{aligned}$$

When $0 \le t \le t_0 =: \min(\ell_1, \ell_2)$, (28) and (32) yield

$$\sigma(\psi < -t) \le \beta_3 (\ell_1 + \ell_2) \exp(\alpha_0 R) \exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r} t).$$

It is obvious that the above inequality is also valid for $t < 0$ since $\psi \le 0$. By the definition of $\Delta(X, \omega_{12}, \sigma, t)$ in (15), we need to consider a function φ on X with the conditions that $dd^c\varphi \ge -r\omega$ and $\int \varphi d\sigma = 0$. Define a new function $\psi := \varphi - \max_X \varphi$. The fact that $\int \varphi d\sigma = 0$ implies that $\max_X \varphi \ge 0$. Then $\psi \le \varphi$. Moreover, $\max_X \psi = 0$. Then

$$\sigma(\varphi < -t) \le \sigma(\psi < -t).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(X,\omega_{12},\sigma,t) &\le \beta_0(\ell_1+\ell_2)\exp(\alpha_0 R)\exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r}t)\\ &\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\beta_1(\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j}\exp(\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j}R)\exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r}(\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j}t).\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

When $0\le t\le t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(X,\omega_{12},\sigma,t) &\le \beta_3(\ell_1+\ell_2)\exp(\alpha_0 R)\exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r}t)\\ &= \beta_4(\ell_1+\ell_2)^{1+\frac{\alpha_0}{2}}\exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r}t),\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

where $\beta_4 =: \beta_3\exp(\alpha_0\beta_2)$ is a universal positive constant.

To estimate $R(X,\omega_{12},\sigma)$, we consider a function ψ on X with the conditions that $\max_X\psi=0, dd^c\psi\ge -r\omega_{12}$. For any $0\le\tilde{t}\le t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}-\int\psi d\sigma &= \int_0^\infty \sigma(\psi\le -t)dt\\ &= \int_0^{\tilde{t}}\sigma(\psi\le -t)dt+\int_{\tilde{t}}^{t_0}\sigma(\psi\le -t)dt+\int_{t_0}^{\infty}\sigma(\psi\le -t)dt\\ &\le \int_0^{\tilde{t}}dt+\int_{\tilde{t}}^{\infty}(\beta_0+\beta_3)(\ell_1+\ell_2)\exp(\alpha_0 R)\exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r}t)dt\\ &\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\beta_1\int_{t_0}^{\infty}(\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j}\exp(\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j}R)\exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r}(\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j}t)dt\\ &= \tilde{t}+\frac{2r}{\alpha_0}(\beta_0+\beta_3)(\ell_1+\ell_2)\exp(\alpha_0 R)\exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r}\tilde{t})\\ &\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{2}\frac{2r}{\alpha_0}\beta_1\exp(\alpha_0(\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j}R)\exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r}(\frac{\rho}{4})^{\ell_j}t_0).\end{aligned}\tag{35}$$

The above inequality follows from (33) and (34). By the hypotheses in (23), the last term in the last equality is less than $\frac{5r}{\alpha_0}\beta_1$ for ℓ_1,ℓ_2 sufficiently large. Hence

$$-\int\psi d\sigma\le\tilde{t}+\frac{2r}{\alpha_0}(\beta_0+\beta_3)(\ell_1+\ell_2)\exp(\alpha_0 R)\exp(-\frac{\alpha_0}{2r}\tilde{t})+\frac{5r}{\alpha_0}\beta_1.$$

Take $\tilde{t}=2rR+\frac{2r}{\alpha_0}\log((\beta_0+\beta_3)(\ell_1+\ell_2))$. By the hypotheses in (23), $\tilde{t}\le t_0$ for ℓ_1,ℓ_2 sufficiently large. We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}-\int\psi d\sigma &\le 2rR+2rR+\frac{2r}{\alpha_0}\log((\beta_0+\beta_3)(\ell_1+\ell_2))+\frac{2r}{\alpha_0}+\frac{5r}{\alpha_0}\beta_1\\ &\le \beta_5 r(1+\log(\ell_1+\ell_2)),\end{aligned}$$

where β_5 is a universal positive constant. This completes the proof. □

The following proposition shows the main estimates in this section.

Proposition 3.14. *In the above setting, let $\mathbb{P}^{\ell_k}$ be a projective space endowed with a probability moderate measure σ_k satisfying Proposition 3.11, $\forall 1 \leq k \leq m$. Set $\sigma := \sigma_1 \times ... \times \sigma_m$. Suppose that $\ell_1, .., \ell_m$ are chosen sufficiently large such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r(\ell_1, ..., \ell_m) \log \ell}{\min(\ell_1, ..., \ell_m)} &\ll 1, \\ (\frac{\rho}{4})^{\min(\ell_1, ..., \ell_m)} \ell &\ll 1. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

Then there exist positive constants β_6, β, ξ depending only on m such that for $0 \leq t \leq \min(\ell_1, ..., \ell_m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_m}, \omega_{MP}, \sigma) &\leq \beta_6 r(\ell_1, ...\ell_m)(1 + \log \ell), \\ S(\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_m}, \omega_{MP}, \sigma) &\leq \beta_6 r(\ell_1, ...\ell_m)(1 + \log \ell), \\ \Delta(\mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times ... \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_m}, \omega_{MP}, \sigma, t) &\leq \beta_6 \ell^{\xi} \exp(-\beta t / r(\ell_1, ...\ell_m)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. When $m = 2$, the estimates on R and Δ are proved in Proposition 3.13. When $m = 3$, following the notations in the proof of Proposition 3.13, we write $X_1 = \mathbb{P}^{\ell_1} \times \mathbb{P}^{\ell_2}, X_2 = \mathbb{P}^{\ell_3}, X = X_1 \times X_2$. The estimates on R and Δ for X_1 (resp. X_2) are showed in Proposition 3.13 and (33) (resp. Proposition 3.11). Consequently, the results of estimates on R and Δ for X are proved by using the analogous arguments in (25),(26),(29),(30) and (35) with the hypotheses (36). For the general case, the results can be deduced inductively by using the analogous arguments in the proof of Proposition 3.13. The estimate on S follows from [14, Proposition 2.4] and [8, Lemma 4.6]. □

4 Proof of the main theorems

In this section we will prove the main theorems. First we give an estimate of the dimension $d_{k,p}$. The lower estimate is proved by construction of a new metric on the line bundle with only one singularity and application of vanishing theorem relative to multiplier ideal sheaves, see [8, Proposition 4.7], [9, Theorem 4.5] and [23, 2.3.28].

Theorem 4.1. *Let (X, ω) be a compact Kähler manifold of dimension n. Suppose that (L, h) is a singular Hermitian holomorphic line bundle on X such that $c_1(L, h) \geq \epsilon\omega$ for some positive constant ϵ. Moreover, h is continuous outside a proper analytic subset A of X. Then there exist a constant $C > 1$ and $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $p \geq p_0$*

$$p^n / C \leq \dim H^0_{(2)}(X, L^p) \leq C p^n.$$

Recall that $\gamma_{k,p}$ is the Fubini-Study current defined in (11). With techniques from [4] and [17], we can show that the sequence of wedge products of these Fubini-Study currents converges weakly to the wedge product of the curvature currents of the line bundles in Theorem 1.1, see [8, Proposition 3.1].

Proposition 4.2. *In the setting of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\frac{1}{p^m}\gamma_{1,p} \wedge ... \wedge \gamma_{m,p} \to c_1(L_1, h_1) \wedge ... \wedge c_1(L_m, h_m)$$

when p tends to ∞.

We also need the following convergence property.

Proposition 4.3. *In the setting of Theorem 1.1, there exists a positive constant C depending only on $X, (L_1, h_1), ..., (L_m, h_m)$ such that*

$$\big|\big\langle \frac{1}{p^m}(\Phi_p^\star(\sigma_p) - \Phi_p^\star(\omega_p^{d_{0,p}})), \phi\big\rangle\big| \leq \frac{C\log p}{p}\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}$$

for any $(n-m, n-m)$-form of class $\mathscr{C}^2$ on X and p sufficiently large. In particular, $\frac{1}{p^m}(\Phi_p^\star(\sigma_p) - \Phi_p^\star(\omega_p^{d_{0,p}}))$ converges weakly to 0 as $p \to \infty$.

Proof. By Theorem 4.1, there exist a positive constant C_1 and $p_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $p \geq p_0, 1 \leq k \leq m$, we have

$$p^n/C_1 \leq d_{k,p} \leq C_1 p^n.$$

Then by Lemma 3.12, $r(\mathbb{X}_p, \omega_p) \leq mC_1^2$. Moreover, $d_{1,p}, ..., d_{m,p}$ satisfy the conditions in (36) for p sufficiently large. Hence it follows from Proposition 3.14 that there exists a positive constant C_2,

$$S(\mathbb{X}_p, \omega_p, \sigma_p) \leq C_2 \log p.$$

Thanks to Proposition 3.7 and Remark 3.8, we can deduce that

$$\delta_p d_p^{-1} \leq C_3 \frac{1}{p}$$

for some positive constant C_3. Note that the constants C_1, C_2, C_3 all depend only on $X, (L_1, h_1), ..., (L_m, h_m)$. Then

$$2S(\mathbb{X}_p, \omega_p, \sigma_p)\delta_p d_p^{-1} \leq \frac{C\log p}{p}$$

for some positive constant C depending only on $X, (L_1, h_1), ..., (L_m, h_m)$ Hence the proof is completed by applying Theorem 3.3. □

The basic proof of the first main theorem will end with the following theorem, which extends [12, Corollary 3.9] and [8, Theorem 4.2]

Theorem 4.4. *In the setting of Theorem 1.1, there exist a positive constant ξ which depends only on m and a positive constant C which depends only on $X, (L_1, h_1), ..., (L_m, h_m)$ with the following property: Given any sequence of positive numbers $\{\lambda_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty}$ with the following conditions*

$$\liminf_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{\log p} > (1+\xi n)C,$$
$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{p^n} = 0,$$

there exist subsets $E_p \subset \mathbb{X}_p$ such that for all p sufficiently large,

(i)

$$\sigma_p(E_p) \leq Cp^{\xi n} \exp(-\frac{\lambda_p}{C}),$$

(ii) for any point $S_p \in \mathbb{X}_p \setminus E_p$ and any $(n-m, n-m)$-form ϕ of class $\mathscr{C}^2$,

$$\big|\frac{1}{p^m}\big\langle [S_p = 0] - \Phi_p^\star(\sigma_p), \phi\big\rangle\big| \leq \frac{C\lambda_p}{p}\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}.$$

Proof. To simplify the notations, let

$$\begin{aligned} R_p &:= R(\mathbb{X}_p, \omega_p, \sigma_p), \\ \Delta_p(t) &:= \Delta(\mathbb{X}_p, \omega_p, \sigma_p, t), \\ E_p(\epsilon) &:= \bigcup_{\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}\leq 1} \{S_p \in \mathbb{X}_p : |\big\langle [S_p = 0] - \Phi_p^\star(\sigma_p), \phi\big\rangle| \geq d_p\epsilon\}, \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

where $t \geq 0, \epsilon > 0$. By [24, Theorem 1.1] with its proof, there exists a constant $c > 1$ which depends only on $X, L_1, ..., L_m, \rho$ such that each component $\bigwedge_{j=1}^{d_{k,p}} \pi_{k,p}^\star(dd^c u_j^{k,p} + \omega_{FS})$ of σ_p is a probability moderate measure satisfying Proposition 3.11. Theorem 4.1 implies that $d_{1,p}, ..., d_{m,p}$ satisfy the conditions in (36) for p sufficiently large. Hence σ_p satisfy Proposition 3.14. Let $\tilde{C}$ be a positive constant depending only on $X, (L_1, h_1), ..., (L_m, h_m)$ such that for all $p \geq p_0, 1 \leq k \leq m$, we have

$$p^n/\tilde{C} \leq d_{k,p} \leq \tilde{C}p^n,$$

Here p_0 is a positive integer which is large enough. Then we have for $p \geq p_0$ and $0 \leq t \leq p^n/\tilde{C}$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_p &\leq m\beta_6\tilde{C}^2(1 + \log(m\tilde{C}p^n)) \leq C_1 \log p, \\ \Delta_p(t) &\leq \beta_6(m\tilde{C}p^n)^\xi \exp(\frac{-\beta t}{m\tilde{C}^2}) \leq C_1 p^{\xi n} \exp(-\frac{t}{C_1}). \end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

Here C_1 is some constant depending only on $X, (L_1, h_1), ..., (L_m, h_m)$. Let

$$\epsilon_p := \frac{\lambda_p}{p}, \quad \eta_p := \epsilon_p d_p \delta_p^{-1} - 3R_p. \tag{39}$$

It follows from Proposition 3.7 and Remark 3.8 that for $p \geq p_0$,

$$\eta_p \geq C_2 \lambda_p - 3C_1 \log p.$$

Here C_2 is some constant depending only on $X, (L_1, h_1), ..., (L_m, h_m)$. If there is a condition that

$$\liminf_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{\log p} > \frac{6C_1}{C_2},$$

then for all p sufficiently large, $\eta_p > \frac{C_2}{2}\lambda_p$. Since

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{p^n} = 0,$$

η_p can be always chosen such that $\frac{C_2}{2}\lambda_p < \eta_p < p^n/\tilde{C}$ for p sufficiently large. By applying Theorem 3.2 to the subset $E_p \subset \mathbb{X}_p$, we obtain

$$\sigma_p(E_p) \leq \Delta_p(\eta_p) \leq C_1 p^{\xi n} \exp(\frac{-C_2}{2C_1}\lambda_p),$$

where $E_p = E_p(\epsilon_p)$. Now we set

$$C = \max\big(\frac{6C_1}{C_2(1+\xi n)}, \frac{2C_1}{C_2}, C_1, \|c_1(L_1, h_1) \wedge ... \wedge c_1(L_m, h_m)\|\big).$$

Then for all p sufficiently large,

$$\sigma_p(E_p) \leq C p^{\xi n} \exp(\frac{-\lambda_p}{C})$$

under the conditions that

$$\liminf_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{\log p} > (1+\xi n)C,$$
$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \frac{\lambda_p}{p^n} = 0.$$

By the definition of E_p, it is obvious that for any $S_p \in \mathbb{X}_p \setminus E_p$ and any $(n-m, n-m)$-form ϕ of class $\mathscr{C}^2$,

$$\big|\frac{1}{p^m}\big\langle [S_p = 0] - \Phi_p^\star(\sigma_p), \phi\big\rangle\big| \leq \frac{d_p}{p^m}\frac{\lambda_p}{p}\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2} \leq \frac{C\lambda_p}{p}\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}. \tag{40}$$

This completes the proof. □

Proposition 4.5. *In the setting of Theorem 1.1, for almost all $S = \{S_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{P}^X$ with respect to σ, we have*

$$\frac{1}{p^m}[S_p = 0] - \frac{1}{p^m}\Phi_p^\star(\sigma_p) \to 0$$

in the weak sense of currents as $p \to \infty$ on X.

Proof. This is a standard proof which is analogous to that of Borel-Cantelli lemma. It follows from Theorem 4.4 that

$$\sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \sigma_p(E_p) \leq C_3 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^\eta} < \infty$$

for some positive constant C_3 and $\eta > 1$, where $E_p = E_p(\epsilon_p)$ (cf. (37) and (39)). Define

$$E := \{S = \{S_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{P}^X : S_p \in E_p \quad \text{for infinitely many} \quad p\}.$$

It is easy to see that E is contained in the following set

$$\tilde{E}_N := \{S = \{S_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{P}^X : S_p \in E_p \quad \text{for at least one} \quad p \geq N\}$$

for each integer $N \geq 1$. Hence we have

$$\sigma(E) \leq \sigma(\tilde{E}_N) \leq \sum_{p=N}^{\infty} \sigma_p(E_p) \leq C_3 \sum_{p=N}^{\infty} \frac{1}{p^\eta}.$$

The proof is completed by letting N tend to ∞. □

End of the proof of Theorem 1.1. By [24, Theorem 1.1] with its proof, there exists a constant $c > 1$ which depends only on $X, L_1, ..., L_m, \rho$ such that each component $\bigwedge_{j=1}^{d_{k,p}} \pi_{k,p}^\star(dd^c u_j^{k,p} + \omega_{FS})$ of σ_p is a probability moderate measure satisfying Proposition 3.11. Hence σ_p satisfy Proposition 3.14. Note that c is independent of the choices of the metrics $h_1, ..., h_m$. It follows from Proposition 3.9 and Proposition 4.3 that

$$\frac{1}{p^m}(\Phi_p^\star(\sigma_p) - \gamma_{1,p} \wedge ... \wedge \gamma_{m,p}) \to 0$$

in the weak sense of currents as $p \to \infty$. Then Proposition 4.5 implies that for almost all $S = \{S_p\}_{p=1}^{\infty} \in \mathbb{P}^X$ with respect to σ

$$\frac{1}{p^m}([S_p = 0] - \gamma_{1,p} \wedge ... \wedge \gamma_{m,p}) \to 0$$

in the weak sense of currents as $p \to \infty$. The proof is finally completed by application of Proposition 4.2. □

Theorem 1.3 follows from Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 with the following theorem [8, Proposition 5.1].

Theorem 4.6. *In the setting of Theorem 1.3, there exists a positive constant C which depends only on $X, (L_1, h_1), ..., (L_m, h_m)$ such that for all p sufficiently large and any $(n-m, n-m)$-form ϕ of class $\mathscr{C}^2$, we have*

$$\big|\big\langle \frac{1}{p^m}\gamma_{1,p} \wedge ... \wedge \gamma_{m,p} - c_1(L_1, h_1) \wedge ... \wedge c_1(L_m, h_m), \phi\big\rangle\big| \leq \frac{C \log p}{p} \|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2}.$$

To prove Theorem 1.5, we need the following result [21, Theorem 15.1.6].

Theorem 4.7. *Let P_A be the set of all functions of the form $p^{-1} \log |f(z)|$ where p is a positive integer and f an entire function $\not\equiv 0$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then the closure of P_A in $L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ consists of all plurisubharmonic functions.*

Let ω_0 be the Fubini-Study form with mass 1 in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Given any positive closed current T of bidegree $(1,1)$ with mass 1 in $\mathbb{P}^n$. Then by dd^c-lemma [10, Lemma 8.6], there exists a q.p.s.h. function φ such that

$$T - \omega_0 = dd^c\varphi.$$

Therefore T corresponds to an entire plurisubharmonic function ψ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ which belongs to the Lelong class (cf. [19, Example 2.2]). By Theorem 4.7, there exists a sequence $\{p^{-1} \log |f_p|\}$ which converges to ψ. Since holomorphic functions in $\mathbb{C}^n$ can be approximated by polynomials, by using diagonal argument, we can choose a sequence of polynomials g_p of degree $\leq p$ such that $\{p^{-1} \log |g_p|\}$ converges to ψ. It is possible since all such $p^{-1} \log |g_p|$ and ψ belong to the Lelong class. Note that g_p can be regarded as a homogeneous polynomial of degree p in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, it induces a global section $S_p \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(p))$. Hence by Lelong-Poincaré formula, $\frac{1}{p}[S_p = 0]$ converges weakly to T. We obtain the following result due to Oka.

Proposition 4.8. *Given any positive closed current T of bidegree $(1,1)$ with mass 1 in $\mathbb{P}^n$, there exists a sequence of $\{S_p\}_{p\geq 1}$, $S_p \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(p))$, such that*

$$\frac{1}{p}[S_p = 0] \to T$$

in the weak sense of currents.

This section concludes with the proof of Theorem 1.5.

Proof. By Proposition 4.8, there exists a sequence of $\{S_p\}_{p\geq 1}$, $S_p \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(p))$, such that

$$\frac{1}{p}[S_p = 0] \to T.$$

Denote by δ_{S_p} the Dirac measure at the point $S_p \in \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(p))$. Choose a sequence of smooth probability measures $\{\mu_{pj}\}$ which is an approximation of δ_{S_p}. Note that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(p)) &\to \mathbb{C} \\ V_p &\mapsto \big\langle [V_p = 0], \phi\big\rangle \end{aligned}$$

is continuous. Then there exists a neighborhood $E_p \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(p))$ of S_p such that

$$|\big\langle [V_p = 0], \phi \big\rangle - \big\langle [S_p = 0], \phi \big\rangle| \leq 1,$$

$\forall V_p \in E_p$ and $(n-1, n-1)$-form ϕ of class $\mathscr{C}^2$ with $\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2} \leq 1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&|\big\langle \frac{1}{p}[V_p = 0] - T, \phi \big\rangle| \\
&\leq |\big\langle \frac{1}{p}[V_p = 0] - \frac{1}{p}[S_p = 0], \phi \big\rangle| + |\big\langle \frac{1}{p}[S_p = 0] - T, \phi \big\rangle| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p}\|\phi\|_{\mathscr{C}^2} + |\big\langle \frac{1}{p}[S_p = 0] - T, \phi \big\rangle| \to 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$\forall V_p \in E_p$ and $(n-1, n-1)$-form ϕ of class $\mathscr{C}^2$. Since $\mu_{pj} \to \delta_{S_p}$ as measures when $j \to \infty$, there exists an index j_p satisfying

$$|\mu_{pj_p}(E_p) - \delta_{S_p}(E_p)| = |\mu_{pj_p}(E_p) - 1| \leq \frac{1}{p^2}.$$

Denote by E_p^c the complement of E_p. Set $\sigma_p := \mu_{pj_p}$. So $\sigma_p(E_p^c) \leq \frac{1}{p^2}$. Yau's theorem [27] implies that there exists a smooth real function u_p with $(\omega_{FS} + dd^c u_p)^{d_p} = \sigma_p$. Then the theorem follows from the same argument in the proof of Proposition 4.5. This completes the proof. □

References

[1] Bogomolny E., Bohigas O., Leboeuf P., *Quantum chaotic dynamics and random polynomials*, J. Stat. Phys., **85** (1996), no.5-6, 639–679.

[2] Bloch A., Pólya G., *On the roots of certain algebraic equations*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **33** (1932), 102–114.

[3] Bloom T., Shiffman B., *Zeros of random polynomials on* $\mathbb{C}^m$, Math. Res. Lett., **14** (2007), no.3, 469–479.

[4] Coman D., Marinescu G., *Equidistribution results for singular metrics on line bundles*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Supér., **48** (2015), no.3, 497–536.

[5] Coman D., Marinescu G., *Convergence of Fubini-Study currents for orbifold line bundles*, Internat. J. Math., **24** (2013), 1350051, 27 pp.

[6] Coman D., Marinescu G., *On the approximation of positive closed currents on compact Kähler manifolds*, Math. Rep., **15** (2013), no. 4, 373–386.

[7] Coman D., Ma X., Marinescu G., *Equidistribution for sequences of line bundles on normal Kähler spaces*, preprint available at arXiv:1412.8184.

[8] Coman D., Marinescu G., Nguyên V.-A., *Hölder singular metrics on big line bundles and equidistribution*, to appear in Int. Math. Res. Not., preprint available at arXiv:1506.01727.

[9] Demailly J.-P., *A numerical criterion for very ample line bundles*, J. Differential Geom., **37** (1993), 323–374.

[10] Demailly J.-P., *Complex analytic and differential geometry*, available at **www.fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/ ∼ demailly**.

[11] Demailly J.-P., *Monge-Ampère operators, Lelong numbers and intersection theory*, in Complex analysis and geometry, Plenum, New York., (1993), 115–193.

[12] Dinh T.-C., Ma X., Marinescu G., *Equidistribution and convergence speed for zeros of holomorphic sections of singular Hermitian line bundles*, preprint available at arXiv:1411.4705.

[13] Dinh T.-C., Nguyên V.-A., Sibony N., *Exponential extimates for plurisubharmonic functions and stochastic dynamics*, J. Differntial Geometry, **84** (2010), 465–488.

[14] Dinh T.-C., Sibony N., *Distribution des valeurs de transformations méromorphes et applications*, Comment. Math. Helv., **81** (2006), no. 5, 221–258.

[15] Erdős P., Turán P., *On the distribution of roots of polynomials*, Ann. Math., **57**, (1950), 105–119.

[16] Fornæss J.-E., Sibony N., *Complex dynamics in higher dimensions II*, Ann. Math. Stud., **137** (1992), 135–182.

[17] Fornæss J.-E., Sibony N., *Oka's inequality for currents and applications*, Math. Ann., **301** (1995), 399–419.

[18] Gunning R.-C., *Introduction to holomorphic functions of several variables II*, Wadsworth Brooks/Cole Mathematics Series, California, 1990.

[19] Guedj V., Zeriahi A., *Intrinsic capacities on compact Kähler manifolds*, J. Geom. Anal., **15** (2005), 607–639.

[20] Hammersley J.-M., *The zeros of a random polynomial*, Proc. 3rd Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. Probability., **2**, (1956), 89–111.

[21] Hörmander L., *The analysis of linear partial differential operators, vol II*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss., vol. 257, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[22] Kac M., *On the average number of real roots of a random algebraic equation II*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **50**, (1948), 390–408.

[23] Ma X., Marinescu G., *Holomorphic Morse Inequalities and Bergman Kernels*, Progress in Math., vol.254, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2007, xiii, 422p.

[24] Shao G., *Equidistribution of zeros of random holomorphic sections for moderate measures*, to appear in Math. Z., DOI: 10.1007/s00209-016-1621-1, preprint available at arXiv:1505.02564.

[25] Shepp L.-A., Vanderbei R.-J., *The complex zeros of random polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **347**, (1995), 4365–4384.

[26] Shiffman B., Zelditch S., *Distribution of zeros of random and quantum chaotic sections of positive line bundles*, Comm. Math. Phys., **200**, (1999), 661–683.

[27] Yau S.-T., *On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge-Ampère equation I*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **31**, (1978), 339–411.

G. Shao, Université Paris-Sud, Mathématique - Bâtiment 425, 91405 Orsay, France. shaoguokuang@gmail.com